

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 1905 of 1998

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE M.S.PARIKH

=====

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement?
4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?

-----  
PRAJAPATI MANGALDAS GOPALDAS

Versus

COLLECTOR

-----  
Appearance:

MR RC JANI for Petitioner

NOTICE SERVED for Respondent No. 1

MR KT DAVE, AGP for Respondent No. 2

-----  
CORAM : MR.JUSTICE M.S.PARIKH

Date of decision: 17/08/98

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Rule. Service of rule waived by Mr. K.T. Dave,  
Ld. AGP for the respondents.

2. The petitioner, who was running a fair price shop since the year 1969, faced cancellation of his licence as such. His grievance is that the root cause of the

cancellation of the licence of his fair price shop was rivalry between the Inspector of Food & Civil Supplies and the person who was working in the shop of the petitioner on account of the fact that there was a dispute between the same person working in the petitioner's shop also working in the shop of the son of aforesaid Inspector, concerning settlement of accounts of the fair price shop run by the said Inspector's son. It has been submitted by the petitioner that now the said Inspector has retired. It has further been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that in so far as the alleged irregularities on the part of the petitioner are concerned, the petitioner will make appropriate representation to the concerned authority of the respondents. In that view of the matter, the petitioner is now required to make a fresh representation for issuance of fresh licence for a fair price shop to the concerned authority. As and when such an application or representation is made by the petitioner, the same shall be considered as expeditiously as possible in accordance with law. Nothing survives in this petition in view of what is stated above. The same is not accordingly pressed. Rule is discharged with no order as to cost.

\* \* \*

\*\*PVR\*\* sca190598j.